NGC 12-07

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

STATE OF NEVADA

BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD, Complainant,

FP HOLDINGS, L.P. dba PALMS CASINO RESORT,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

The State of Nevada, on relation of its State Gaming Control Board (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, Attorney General, by JOHN S. MICHELA, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Complaint for disciplinary action against RESPONDENT pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2) and alleges as follows:

- 1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of chapter 463 of NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this state as set forth in Title 41 of NRS and the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission.
- FP HOLDINGS, L.P., dba PALMS CASINO RESORT (PALMS), located at 4321 West Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada holds a nonrestricted gaming license.
- 3. Moon Nightclub (Moon) is a nightclub located on the premises of PALMS. Moon is owned and operated by N-M Ventures II, LLC.
- 4. Rain Nightclub (Rain) is a nightclub located on the premises of PALMS. Rain is owned and operated by N-M Ventures, LLC.
- 5. Ghostbar Nightclub (Ghostbar) is a nightclub located on the premises of PALMS. Ghostbar is owned and operated by N-M Ventures, LLC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 6. Ditch Fridays is a pool party held at the pool complex located on the premises of PALMS. Ditch Fridays is owned and operated by N-M Ventures, LLC.
- 7. N-M Ventures, LLC is now a wholly owned subsidiary of PALMS. At all times relevant to this complaint, PALMS has held at least a fifty percent (50%) ownership interest in N-M Ventures, LLC.
- 8. N-M Ventures II, LLC is now a wholly owned subsidiary of PALMS. At all times relevant to this complaint PALMS has held at least a fifty percent (50%) ownership interest in N-M Ventures II, LLC.

RELEVANT LAW

- The Nevada Legislature has declared under NRS 463.0129(1) that:
 - (a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.
 - (b) The continued growth and success of gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed gaming and the manufacture, sale and distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment are conducted honestly and competitively, that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods, that the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected and that gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements.
 - (c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments, the manufacture, sale or distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment and the operation of inter-casino linked systems.
 - (d) All establishments where gaming is conducted and where gaming devices are operated, and manufacturers, sellers and distributors of certain gaming devices and equipment, and operators of inter-casino linked systems must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State, to foster the stability and success of gaming and to preserve the competitive economy and policies of free competition of the State of Nevada.

NRS 463.0129(1)(a), (b), (c) and (d).

28

25

26

27

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10. The Nevada Gaming Commission has full and absolute power and authority to limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license, or fine any person licensed, for any cause deemed reasonable. See NRS 463.1405(4).
- 11. The Nevada Gaming Commission may also place "such conditions as it may deem necessary in the public interest upon any registration, finding of suitability or approval for which application has been made." NRS 463.220(3).
- 12. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees in order to ensure that the gaming operations are not being conducted in an unsuitable manner. See NRS 463.1405(1).
- 13. This continuing obligation is repeated in Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.040, which provides as follows:

A gaming license is a revocable privilege, and no holder thereof shall be deemed to have acquired any vested rights therein or thereunder. The burden of proving his qualifications to hold any license rests at all times on the licensee. The board is charged by law with the duty of observing the conduct of all licensees to the end that licenses shall not be held by unqualified or disqualified persons or unsuitable persons or persons whose operations are conducted in an unsuitable manner.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.

- 14. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010 provides as follows:
 - 1. It is the policy of the commission and the board to require that all establishments wherein gaming is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada.
 - 2. Responsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable will constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action.

Nev.	Gaming	Comm'n	Reg.	5.010
------	--------	--------	------	-------

28

15. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.011 states, in relevant part, as follows:

The board and the commission deem any activity on the part of any licensee, his agents or employees, that is inimical to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the people of the State of Nevada, or that would reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry, to be an unsuitable method of operation and shall be grounds for disciplinary action by the board and the commission in accordance with the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the board and the commission. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following acts or omissions may be determined to be unsuitable methods of operation:

1. Failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry.

• • • •

8. Failure to comply with or make provision for compliance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operations of a licensed establishment including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, payment of all license fees, withholding any payroll taxes, liquor and entertainment taxes and antitrust and monopoly statutes.

• • • •

10. Failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum and decency, or permit any type of conduct in the gaming establishment which reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.011 (1), (8), and (10).

- 16. Nevada Revised Statute 453.321 provides in relevant part:
 - 1. Except as authorized by the provisions of NRS 453.011 to 453.552, inclusive, it is unlawful for a person to:
 - (a) Import, transport, sell, exchange, barter, supply, prescribe, dispense, give away or administer a controlled or counterfeit substance. . . .

• • • •

2. Unless a greater penalty is provided in NRS 453.333 or 453.334, if a person violates subsection 1 and the controlled substance is classified in schedule I or II, the person is guilty of a category B felony. . . .

NRS 453.321 (1)(a) and (2).

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1

17.	Nevada	Revised	Statute	453.031	provides:	"'Board'	means	the	State	Board	of
harmacv.	n										

- 18. Nevada Revised Statute 453.146 provides in relevant part: "1. The Board shall administer the provisions of NRS 453.011 to 453.552, inclusive, and may add substances to or delete or reschedule all substances enumerated in schedules I, II, III, IV and V by regulation."
 - 19. Nevada Administrative Code 453.510 provides in relevant part:
 - 1. Schedule I consists of the drugs and other substances listed in this section by whatever official, common, usual, chemical or trade name designated.
 - 4. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture or preparation which contains any quantity of the following hallucinogenic substances, including, without limitation, their salts, isomers and salts of isomers, whenever the existence of such salts, isomers and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical designation:
 - 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA);
 - 8. Unless specifically listed in another schedule, coca leaves, cocaine base or free base, or a salt, compound, derivative, isomer or preparation thereof which is chemically equivalent or identical to such substances, and any quantity of material, compound, mixture or preparation which contains coca leaves, cocaine base or cocaine free base or its isomers or any of the salts of cocaine, except decocainized coca leaves or extractions which do not contain cocaine or ecgonine.

NAC 453.510 (1), (4), and (8).

- 20. 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), is also known as ecstasy.
- 21. Nevada Administrative Code 453.520 provides in relevant part:
 - 1. Schedule II consists of the drugs listed in this section, by whatever official, common, usual, chemical or trade name designated.
 - 2. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any of the following substances, whether produced

directly or indirectly by extraction from substances of vegetable origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, is hereby enumerated in schedule II:

(a) Opium and opiate, and any salt, compound, derivative or preparation of opium or opiate, excluding apomorphine, thebainederived butorphanol, dextrorphan, nalbuphine, nalmefene, naloxone and naltrexone, and their respective salts, but including:

Oxycodone. . . .

NAC 453.510 (1) and (2)(a).

- 22. Percocet contains oxycodone.
- Nevada Revised Statute 201.295 provides:

As used in NRS 201.295 to 201.440, inclusive, unless the context otherwise requires:

- 1. "Adult" means a person 18 years of age or older.
- 2. "Child" means a person less than 18 years of age.
- 3. "Prostitute" means a male or female person who for a fee engages in sexual intercourse, oral-genital contact or any touching of the sexual organs or other intimate parts of a person for the purpose of arousing or gratifying the sexual desire of either person.
 - 4. "Prostitution" means engaging in sexual conduct for a fee.
- 5. "Sexual conduct" means any of the acts enumerated in subsection 3.

NRS 201.295.

- 24. Nevada Revised Statute 201.354 provides:
 - 1. It is unlawful for any person to engage in prostitution or solicitation therefor, except in a licensed house of prostitution.
 - 2. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, a person

who violates subsection 1 is guilty of a misdemeanor.

3. A person who violates subsection 1 by soliciting a child for prostitution is guilty of a category E felony and shall be punished as provided in NRS 193.130.

NRS 201.354.

25. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:

Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or of these regulations by a licensee, his agent or employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a license.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee to be bound by all of the regulations of the commission as the same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is the responsibility of the licensee to keep himself informed of the content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will not excuse violations.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030 (emphasis added).

BACKGROUND

- 26. All events and activities contained herein took place on the premises of PALMS.
- 27. On or about February 7, 2006; April 9, 2009; and April 9, 2012, the BOARD sent letters to nonrestricted gaming licensees concerning nightclub activities. The BOARD, through its Enforcement Division, also conducted a number of industry classes concerning nightclubs in casinos.
- 28. The letters and classes were concerned with what were becoming recurring events surrounding nightclubs located on the premises of nonrestricted gaming licensees and how these events constituted situations which could bring discredit to the State and act as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry. The events with which the BOARD was concerned in the letters included, but were not limited to, drug distribution and prostitution.
 - 29. PALMS had representatives attend at least one industry nightclub class.
- 30. The letters also set out that the BOARD would hold licensees responsible for any incidents resulting from activities on the premises of a licensee.
- 31. As such, PALMS is responsible for all incidents that have been brought onto the premises of PALMS based on its ownership and/or association with N-M Ventures, LLC and N-M Ventures II. LLC.
- 32. The violations comprising this Complaint are the result of a joint, undercover investigation conducted by the BOARD and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

COUNT ONE

VIOLATION OF <u>NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5,011(1)</u> and (10)

33. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 32 above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 34. On March 16, 2012, at about 11:15 p.m., while Undercover Officer #1 (UC#1) was on his way to Moon, UC#1 contacted Host Manager (HM) and asked HM if HM could provide some girls for their party because they wanted to get laid and didn't care how much it would cost. HM stated this would not be a problem. Shortly thereafter, UC#1 arrived at Moon.
- 35. On March 17, 2012, at about 12:50 a.m., HM brought two females to UC#1's table in Moon. UC#1 thanked HM and UC#1 and HM both went to the outdoor deck of the Moon.
- 36. Out on the deck of Moon, HM asked UC#1 if UC#1 was happy with the girls because HM could provide different girls if UC#1 wanted.
- 37. In addition, Security Officer #1 (SO#1) and Bottle Runner #1 (BR#1) stated they could provide prostitutes to UC#1.
 - 38. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 39. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this Complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 40. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT TWO

- 41. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 40 above.
- 42. On March 17, 2012, at about 12:50 a.m. while at Moon, UC#1 asked HM if he could provide any "party favors." HM asked "like blow, \$100 worth of blow?" UC#1 said "sure." HM made a call and ordered cocaine for UC#1. At around 2:00 a.m., HM told UC#1 that HM had what UC#1 wanted and sold UC#1 1.9 grams of cocaine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

42	DALMS	failed to	take ar	rtion to	prevent th	a shove	from	occurring
4.5	PALMS	raneo to	take at	טו ווטווג) Dievent u	ie auove	HUILL	occurring

- 44. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 45. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT THREE

- 46. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 45 above.
- 47. On March 31, 2012, at about 12:30 a.m., UC#1 was in Rain. UC#1 made contact with Bottle Runner #2 (BR#2) and asked if BR#2 could provide UC#1 with prostitutes. BR#2 initially stated that BR#2 could do so. About 15 minutes later, BR#2 stated BR#2 could not provide any prostitutes.
- 48. UC#1 then asked BR#2 what BR#2 could provide. BR#2 stated BR#2 could provide UC#1 some cocaine. UC#1 said "sure", UC#1 would take \$100 worth. BR#2 reached into his front pocket and sold UC#1 0.9 grams of cocaine.
 - 49. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 50. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

51. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT FOUR

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

- 52. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 51 above.
- 53. On March 31, 2012, while in Rain and after UC#1 had already purchased 0.9 grams of cocaine from BR#2, UC#1 asked BR#2 if UC#1 could purchase an "8 ball" of cocaine from BR#2. BR#2 said "sure" and mentioned that BR#2 had plenty of cocaine to sell. BR#2 returned several minutes later and sold UC#1 5.1 grams of cocaine.
- 54. BR#2 stated to UC#1 that he had sold some cocaine to other patrons throughout the evening, as well. BR#2 further stated BR#2 could get UC#1 one pound of cocaine for approximately \$18,000.
 - 55. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 56. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 57. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT FIVE

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

- 58. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 57 above.
- 59. On April 21, 2012, at approximately 11:45 p.m., UC#1 arrived at Moon, made contact with Bottle Runner #3 (BR#3), and asked BR#3 if BR#3 could provide UC#1 with any prostitutes or drugs. BR#3 stated BR#3 could provide both. UC#1 asked BR#3 if BR#3 could get UC#1 cocaine or ecstasy. BR#3 replied BR#3 could get UC#1 cocaine. UC#1 requested \$100 worth of cocaine. BR#3 left and returned a few minutes later. BR#3 sold UC#1 1.2 grams of cocaine upon BR#3's return. BR#3 further stated BR#3 was working on obtaining a prostitute and ecstasy for UC#1. BR#3 also indicated BR#3 was working on obtaining some pain pills for one of the other undercover officers.
 - 60. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 61. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 62. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT SIX

- 63. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. On April 22, 2012, at approximately 12:26 a.m. at Moon, HM arrived at UC#1's table in Moon. UC#1 requested two 8-balls of cocaine from HM. HM stated HM would obtain

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the cocaine and then return. At approximately 2:10 a.m., HM returned to Moon and sold UC#1 5.6 grams of cocaine.

- 65. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 66. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 67. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT SEVEN

- 68. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 67 above.
- 69. On April 22, 2012, at approximately 12:35 a.m. at Moon, UC#1 saw and made contact with BR#1. UC#1 asked BR#1 for some pills. At approximately 2:15 a.m., BR#1 approached UC#1 on the dance floor and sold UC#1 a Percocet pill. UC#1 did not have a prescription for Percocet, and BR#1 was not authorized under law to write such a prescription or provide Percocet to anyone.
 - 70. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 71. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

72. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT EIGHT

- 73. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 72 above.
- 74. On April 22, 2012, at approximately 1:15 a.m. at Moon, UC#1 saw SO#1 and asked SO#1 for a private room for the stated purpose of ingesting cocaine in privacy. UC#1 gave SO#1 \$80, and SO#1 led UC#1 to two doors with an exit sign above them. Situated in the area was Security Officer #3 (SO#3). SO#3 initially told UC#1 that UC#1 could not go where SO#1 was leading UC#1. UC#1 gave SO#3 \$20. SO#3 told UC#1 that UC#1 could not go where SO#1 was leading UC#1. UC#1 gave SO#3 another \$20. UC#1 was then allowed to follow SO#1.
- 75. SO#1 opened the doors and left UC#1 alone in a room while SO#1 and SO#3 guarded the other side of the doors. UC#1 stayed in the room for a short time to give the appearance of drug use. Upon exiting the room, SO#3 stated UC#1 owed SO#3 another \$100. After paying SO#3 \$100, SO#3 told UC#1 that UC#1 could use the room again if UC#1 paid SO#3.
 - 76. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 77. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 78. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT NINE

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

- 79. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 78 above.
- 80. Upon UC#1's return to his table in Moon on April 22, 2012, at approximately 1:25 a.m., UC#1 noticed a white female adult (WFA) sitting there. WFA stated she was sent to UC#1's table based on UC#1's inquiries to BR#3 regarding prostitutes and ecstasy.
- 81. WFA texted her drug dealer to get the prices for the ecstasy. WFA left the table and, at approximately 2:25 a.m., returned and sold ecstasy pills to Undercover Officer #2 (UC#2).
 - 82. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 83. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 84. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT TEN

- 85. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 84 above.
- 86. While WFA was at UC#1's table in Moon on April 22, 2012, UC#2 explained to WFA the sexual acts the undercover officers would like for later. UC#2 asked WFA if the

requested sexual acts would cost more than \$2,000. WFA indicated the requested sexual acts would not cost more than \$2,000.

- 87. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 88. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 89. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT ELEVEN

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

- 90. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 89 above.
- 91. While WFA was at UC#1's table in Moon on April 22, 2012, UC#1 told Security Officer #4 (SO#4) that the undercover officers at the table were popping pills and didn't want anyone to see. UC#1 then asked SO#4 to block the entrance to the booth and handed SO#4 \$20. SO#4 moved to block the entrance to UC#1's booth to prevent anyone from seeing UC#1's table. SO#4 blocked the view until UC#1 told SO#4 that SO#4 could move.
 - 92. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 93. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.

. . . .

94. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT TWELVE

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

- 95. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 94 above.
- 96. On May 4, 2012, at approximately 11:35 p.m., Service Manager (SM) met undercover officers at the door of Ghostbar. SM escorted the undercover officers to their table in Ghostbar. While escorting the undercover officers to their table, SM sold Undercover Officer #3 (UC#3) 2.4 grams of cocaine.
 - 97. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 98. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 99. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT THIRTEEN

- 100. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 99 above.
- 101. On May 5, 2012, while at the Ghostbar, UC#3 made contact with Security Officer #6 (SO#6). UC#3 asked SO#6 for some ecstasy. SO#6 stated SO#6 would work on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

procuring the ecstasy for UC#3. Over the next hour, SO#6 worked on obtaining the ecstasy and gave UC#3 regular updates. SO#6 then informed UC#3 that SO#6's drug dealer had not gotten back to SO#6, but SO#6 would keep trying.

- 102. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 103. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 104. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT FOURTEEN

- 105. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 104 above.
- 106. On May 11, 2012, at Ditch Friday's after Security Officer #7 (SO#7) reported SO#7 was unable to obtain drugs for UC#1, UC#1 asked SO#7 if SO#7 would draw the shades on the undercover officer's cabana for the stated purpose of ingesting cocaine. SO#7 agreed to do so and did, in fact, do so. SO#7 drew the shades and stood in front of the cabana for additional coverage upon being requested to do so by UC#1 for the stated purpose of ingesting cocaine at approximately 1:50 p.m.
 - 107. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 108. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 110. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 109 above.
- 111. On May 11, 2012, at Ditch Friday's, at approximately 12:30 p.m., UC#1 made contact with SO#3 and asked if SO#3 could provide UC#1 with some drugs. SO#3 agreed to do so. At approximately 1:10 p.m., SO#3 asked UC#1 if cocaine was acceptable. UC#1 agreed that it was. At approximately 1:45 p.m., SO#3 sold UC#1 6.2 grams of cocaine.
 - 112. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 113. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 114. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT SIXTEEN

VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011(1) and (10)

115. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 114 above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 116. On May 11, 2012, at Ditch Friday's at approximately 2:30 p.m., HM sold UC#1 2.5 grams of cocaine.
 - 117. PALMS failed to take action to prevent the above from occurring.
- 118. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure to prevent the above reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 119. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

COUNT SEVENTEEN

- 120. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 119 above.
- 121. PALMS has not maintained sufficient control over its premises to prevent incidents which reflect or tend to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or act as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 122. PALMS now wholly owns N-M Ventures, LLC and N-M Ventures II, LLC which own and operate Moon, Rain, Ghostbar, and Ditch Fridays. PALMS has owned at least fifty percent (50%) of NM Ventures, LLC and N-M Ventures II, LLC at all times relevant to this Complaint.
- 123. At least thirteen employees of N-M Ventures, LLC and N-M Ventures II, LLC working in venues located on PALMS' premises provided drugs, provided prostitutes, offered to provide drugs, offered to provide prostitutes, provided private areas in which to consume drugs, and/or created privacy in public areas to enable drug use for the undercover officers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

over a period of five visits to the venues. A number of these employees did so on multiple occasions.

- 124. PALMS did not exercise sufficient control over its nightclubs and pool to prevent the employees of N-M Ventures, LLC and N-M Ventures II, LLC from engaging in the aforementioned activities.
- 125. Thus, the BOARD, in order to halt the illegal activities occurring within the nightclubs and pool of the PALMS and to protect gaming in the State of Nevada, to protect the welfare of the gaming industry, and to protect the welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada, has had to file this Complaint.
- 126. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this complaint, this failure of PALMS to exercise control reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 127. PALMS' failure to prevent the acts as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against RESPONDENT, pursuant to NRS 463.310, and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.030 the STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD prays for the relief as follows:

- That the Nevada Gaming Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on RESPONDENT pursuant to NRS 463.312(2);
- 2. That the Nevada Gaming Commission fine RESPONDENT a monetary sum pursuant to the parameters defined at NRS 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission:

- 3. That the Nevada Gaming Commission take action against RESPONDENT'S license or licenses pursuant to the parameters defined in NRS 463.310(4); and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Nevada Gaming Commission may deem just

DATED this 18th day of Trumson

STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD

SHAWN R. RE

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO

Senior Deputy Attorney General

Gaming Division (775) 850-4153